

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/672,094	LILLE, JEFFREY S.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Craig A. Renner	2627	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Pending

 (1) Craig A. Renner, Primary Examiner.

(3) _____.

 (2) Ronald B. Feece, Attorney For Applicant.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 November 2006
Time: N/A
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Includes the rejection(s) of claim 32.

Claims discussed:

Includes claims 10, 18-19 and 21-32.

Prior art documents discussed:

Includes Cole et al. (US 5,452,164).
Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:


See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)


 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: By examiner's amendment, minor clarifying language was incorporated into the title and claims 10, 18-19 and 32, non-elected claims 21-31 were canceled without prejudice or disclaimer, and the subject matter of claim 2 was incorporated into independent claim 32 in order to define over the prior art, supra.



CRAIG A. RENNER
PRIMARY EXAMINER